arXiv:1602.00109v1 [stat.ME] 30 Jan 2016

A nonparametric copula density estimator incorporating information on bivariate marginals

Yu-Hsiang Cheng

e-mail: 96354501@nccu.edu.tw

and

Tzee-Ming Huang*

e-mail: tmhuang@nccu.edu.tw

1. Introduction

Consider the problem of estimating copula density when the bivariate marginals are known. Let c be a copula density. Let W_h be the space of tensor product linear B-splines on $[0,1]^d$ with equally space knots, where $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_d)$ and h_i is the distance between two adjacent knots for the i-th dimension. Let B_1, ..., B_k denote the tensor product B-spline basis functions for W_h. Then, we consider c is approximated by

$$\alpha_1 B_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k B_k,$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are coefficients. First, we state some notations and definitions as follows.

- $W = L^2([0,1]^d)$.
- S: $\{(f_1, \ldots, f_d) : f_1, \ldots, f_d \in L^2([0,1])$ and $\int_0^1 f_1(x)dx = \cdots = \int_0^1 f_d(x)dx\}$.
- $A : W \to S$ is a linear operator such that for f in W, $Af = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$, where

$$f_i(x_i) = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)dx_1 \cdots dx_{i-1}dx_{i+1} \cdots dx_d.$$

- $H_{ij} : L^2([0,1]^d) \to L^2([0,1]^2)$ to be the linear mapping such that for $f \in W$ and for $1 \le i, j \le d$, $(H_{ij}f)(x_i, x_j)$ is given by

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)dx_1 \cdots dx_{i-1}dx_{i+1} \cdots dx_{j-1}dx_{j+1} \cdots dx_d.$$

- $M = \left(\int_{[0,1]^d} c(u)B_1(u)du, \ldots, \int_{[0,1]^d} c(u)B_k(u)du \right)^T$

*Corresponding author.

2. Methodology and main results

Suppose that we observe data $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with copula density c, where $X_i = (X_{i,1}, \ldots, X_{i,d})$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let

$$\hat{M}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_i\big(\hat{F}_1(X_{j,1}), \ldots, \hat{F}_d(X_{j,d})\big)$$

be a moment estimator for M_i, where $\hat{F}_j(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i,j} \le x)$ is the empirical CDF of data $X_{1,j}, \ldots, X_{n,j}$. Let

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{[0,1]^d} B_1(u)B_1(u)du & \cdots & \int_{[0,1]^d} B_1(u)B_k(u)du \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \int_{[0,1]^d} B_k(u)B_1(u)du & \cdots & \int_{[0,1]^d} B_k(u)B_k(u)du \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Pen(c, \alpha) = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| (H_{ij}c)(u_i, u_j) - (H_{ij} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \alpha_t B_t)(u_i, u_j) \Big|^2 du_i du_j,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)^T$ is the vector of B-spline coefficients. We estimate the copula density c using $\hat{c} = \hat{\alpha}_1 B_1 + \cdots + \hat{\alpha}_k B_k$, where $\hat{\alpha} = (\hat{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_k)$ is the minimizer of

$$\beta(P\alpha - \hat{M})^T(P\alpha - \hat{M}) + \lambda Pen(c, \alpha)$$

under the constraints that $\alpha^T B$ is nonnegative and the marginals of $\alpha^T B$ are uniform density on $[0, 1]$, where $B = (B_1, \ldots, B_k)^T$ and $\beta = 1/(\prod_{i=1}^{d} h_i)$. Now, we show that $\hat{c}$ is consistent for c under some mild conditions. Theorem 1 gives the approximation error of B-splines under a linear constraint and a nonnegativity consraint.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\eta \in S$ and $\{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\} \neq \emptyset$. Let V denote the set $\{f \in W : f \ge 0\}$ and $V^{(0)}$ be the interior of V. Suppose that $g \in \{f \in V^{(0)} : Af = \eta\}$ and ε is a positive number such that $B(g, \varepsilon) \stackrel{def}{=} \{f \in W : \|f - g\| < \varepsilon\} \subset V^{(0)}$ and*

$$2d\|\bar{g}_w - g\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for some $\bar{g}_w \in W_h$. Then for $f \in V \cap \{f \in W : Af = \eta\}$ and $\bar{f}_w \in W_h$, there exists $f_w \in V \cap \{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\}$ such that

$$\|f_w - f\| \le 2d\Big(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}(\|f\| + \|g\| + \varepsilon)\Big)\|\bar{f}_w - f\|.$$

Using Theorem 1, we can establish the consistency of $\hat{c}$, and the result is given in Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *Let $k = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\big((1/h_i) + 1\big)$ denote the number of tensor basis functions. Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \max(h_1, \ldots, h_d) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} (1/h_{\min})^{2+d} k/n = 0$, where $h_{\min} = \min(h_1, \ldots, h_d)$. Then, $\|\hat{c} - c\| \to 0$ in probability.*

3. Proofs

We will provide the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 in this section.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Lemma 1, which is stated and proved below.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that* $\eta \in S$ *and* $\{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\} \neq \emptyset$. *Then for* $f \in \{f \in W : Af = \eta\}$ *and* $\bar{f}_w \in W_h$, *there exists* $f_w \in \{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\}$ *such that*

$$\|f_w - f\| \leq 2d\|\bar{f}_w - f\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ *denotes the* L^2 *norm.*

Proof. First, we will prove Lemma 1 when $\eta = (0, \ldots, 0)$. For any $\bar{f}_w \in W_h$, let $(f_1, \ldots, f_d) = A\bar{f}_w$ and $\mu = \int_0^1 f_1(x)dx$. Let $g(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$ and $f^* = \bar{f}_w - g$, then we have

$$Af^* = A\bar{f}_w - Ag = -\mu(d-1)(1, \ldots, 1).$$

Let e_1 denote the constant function 1 on $[0,1]^d$, then $e_1 \in W_h$. Take $f_w = f^* + \mu(d-1)e_1$, then $Af_w = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_w - f\| &\leq \|f_w - \bar{f}_w\| + \|\bar{f}_w - f\| \\ &\leq \|\mu(d-1)e_1 - g\| + \|\bar{f}_w - f\| \\ &\leq |\mu|(d-1)\|e_1\| + d\|\bar{f}_w - f\| + \|\bar{f}_w - f\| \\ &\leq 2d\|\bar{f}_w - f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\mu^2 \leq \|f_i\|^2 \leq \|\bar{f}_w - f\|^2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

Next, we will prove Lemma 1 for a general η. From the assumption that $\{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a function $\tilde{f}$ in W_h such that $A\tilde{f} = \eta$. Suppose that $f \in \{f \in W : Af = \eta\}$ and $\bar{f}_w \in W_h$. Then $A(f - \tilde{f}) = (0, \ldots, 0)$. Apply Lemma 1 with η, f and $\bar{f}_w$ replaced by $(0, \ldots, 0)$, $f - \tilde{f}$ and $\bar{f}_w - \tilde{f}$ respectively, then there exists $g_w \in W_h$ such that $Ag_w = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and

$$\|g_w - (f - \tilde{f})\| \leq 2d\|(\bar{f}_w - \tilde{f}) - (f - \tilde{f})\|.$$

Take $f_w = g_w + \tilde{f}$, then $f_w \in W_h$, $Af_w = \eta$ and the above equation becomes

$$\|f_w - f\| \leq 2d\|\bar{f}_w - f\|.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete. □

Now, we will prove Theorem 1.

Proof. The proof for Theorem 1 is adapted from the proof for Lemma 2.4 in Wong [1]. Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 1 hold, $f \in V \cap \{f \in W : Af = \eta\}$ and $\bar{f}_w \in W_h$. Then by Lemma 1, there exist $\tilde{g}_w$, $\tilde{f}_w \in \{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\}$ such that

$$\|\tilde{g}_w - g\| \leq 2d\|\bar{g}_w - g\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{f}_w - f\| \leq 2d\| \bar{f}_w - f|.$$

Note that $\tilde{g}_w \in \{f \in W_h : Af = \eta\} \cap V^{(0)}$. Let $f_\tau = \tau\tilde{f}_w + (1-\tau)\tilde{g}_w$ and $\tau^* = \sup\{\tau \in [0,1] : f_\tau \in V\}$, then we will show that Theorem 1 holds with $f_w = f_{\tau^*}$.

Take $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/2$, then $B(\tilde{g}_w, \varepsilon_1) \subset B(g, \varepsilon) \subset V^{(0)}$. For

$$0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 + \|\tilde{f}_w - f\|},$$

we have $\|\tau(\tilde{f}_w - f)/(1-\tau)\| \leq \varepsilon_1$, so

$$\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}(\tilde{f}_w - f) + \tilde{g}_w \in B(\tilde{g}_w, \varepsilon_1) \subset V,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_\tau &= \tau\tilde{f}_w + (1-\tau)\tilde{g}_w \\ &= \tau f + (1-\tau)\Big[\frac{\tau}{1-\tau}(\tilde{f}_w - f) + \tilde{g}_w\Big] \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$1 - \tau^* \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 + \|\tilde{f}_w - f\|} = \frac{\|\tilde{f}_w - f\|}{\varepsilon_1 + \|\tilde{f}_w - f\|} \leq \frac{\|\tilde{f}_w - f\|}{\varepsilon_1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_w - f\| &= \|\tau^*\tilde{f}_w + (1-\tau^*)\tilde{g}_w - f\| \\ &\leq \tau^*\|\tilde{f}_w - f\| + (1-\tau^*)\|\tilde{g}_w - f\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{f}_w - f\|\Big(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}(\|f\| + \|g\| + \varepsilon)\Big) \\ &\leq 2d\Big(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}(\|f\| + \|g\| + \varepsilon)\Big)\|\bar{f}_w - f\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Before we provide the proof of Theorem 2, the Lemma2 and its proof are stated as follows.

Lemma 2. *For* $t = 1, \ldots, k$, $\hat{M}_t = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_t\big(\hat{F}_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, \hat{F}_d(X_{i,d})\big)$. *Let* $h_{\min} = \min(h_1, \ldots, h_d)$. *Then* $E(|\hat{M}_t - M_t|^2) = O\big(\frac{1}{n}\big)\Big(1 + \Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2\Big)$.

Proof. For simplicity, We first define some notations. For $1 \le \ell \le d$ and $1 \le i \le n$, let $\zeta_{i,\ell} = \hat{F}_\ell(X_{i,\ell}) - F_\ell(X_{i,\ell})$, where F_ℓ is the CDF for $X_{i,\ell}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 &= B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}) + \zeta_{i,1}, \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d}) + \zeta_{i,d}\big)\\
&\vdots\\
\varphi_\ell &= B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_{\ell-1}(X_{i,\ell-1}), F_\ell(X_{i,\ell}) + \zeta_{i,\ell}, \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d}) + \zeta_{i,d}\big)\\
&\vdots\\
\varphi_d &= B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_{d-1}(X_{i,d-1}), F_d(X_{i,d}) + \zeta_{i,d}\big)\\
\varphi_{d+1} &= B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $B_t(u_1, \ldots, u_d) = \phi_{t,1}(u_1) \cdots \phi_{t,d}(u_d)$, where for $1 \le j \le d$, $|\phi_{t,j}| \le 1$ and

$$|\phi_{t,j}(x_1) - \phi_{t,j}(x_2)| \le \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{h_{\min}} \text{ for } x_1, x_2 \in [0,1],$$

we have

$$\varphi_\ell - \varphi_{\ell+1} = \Big[\phi_{t,\ell}\big(F_\ell(X_{i,\ell}) + \zeta_{i,\ell}\big) - \phi_{t,\ell}\big(F_\ell(X_{i,\ell})\big)\Big] \prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \phi_{t,s}\big(F_s(X_{i,s})\big) \prod_{s=\ell+1}^{d} \phi_{t,s}\big(F_s(X_{i,s}) + \zeta_{i,s}\big),$$

and

$$|\varphi_\ell - \varphi_{\ell+1}| \le |\phi_{t,\ell}\big(F_\ell(X_{i,\ell}) + \zeta_{i,\ell}\big) - \phi_{t,\ell}\big(F_\ell(X_{i,\ell})\big)| \le \frac{1}{h_{\min}} |\zeta_{i,\ell}|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|B_t\big(\hat{F}_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, \hat{F}_d(X_{i,d})\big) - B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d})\big)|\\
=\ &|(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + (\varphi_2 - \varphi_3) + \cdots + (\varphi_d - \varphi_{d+1})|\\
\le\ &\frac{1}{h_{\min}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} |\zeta_{i,\ell}|.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
|M_t - \hat{M}_t| &= \Big| \int_{[0,1]^d} c(u) B_t(u) du - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_t\big(\hat{F}_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, \hat{F}_d(X_{i,d})\big) \Big|\\
&\le \underbrace{\Big| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big[B_t\big(\hat{F}_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, \hat{F}_d(X_{i,d})\big) - B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d})\big)\Big] \Big|}_{I}\\
&\quad + \underbrace{\Big| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_t\big(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d})\big) - E\big(B_t(F_1(X_{i,1}), \ldots, F_d(X_{i,d}))\big) \Big|}_{II}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|I| \leq \frac{1}{nh_{\min}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} |\zeta_{i,\ell}|$, we have

$$EI^2 \leq \Big(\frac{1}{nd}\Big)\Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} E\zeta_{i,\ell}^2 = \Big(\frac{1}{nd}\Big)\Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \frac{2n+2}{12n^2} = \Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2 O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big).$$

In addition,

$$EII^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} = O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big),$$

so

$$E(|M_t - \hat{M}_t|^2) = O\Big(\frac{1}{n}\Big)\Big(1 + \Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2\Big).$$

□

Now, we will prove Theorem 2.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a α^* such that $\|(\alpha^*)^T B - c\| \leq \Delta_1$, where $(\alpha^*)^T B$ satisfies the constraints for a copula density. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{\alpha}^T B - c\|^2 &\leq 2\|(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T B\|^2 + 2\|(\alpha^*)^T B - c\|^2 \\
&\leq 2(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*) + 2\Delta_1^2 \\
&\leq 2(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T P^T P^{-1} P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*) + 2\Delta_1^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\min(eigen(P))}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T P^T P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*) + 2\Delta_1^2 \\
&\leq \Big(\frac{2}{\beta \min(eigen(P))}\Big)\Big(\beta(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T P^T P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)\Big) + 2\Delta_1^2. \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the $eigen(A)$ denotes the eigenvalues of a matrix A. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0 &= \beta(P\hat{\alpha} - \hat{M})^T(P\hat{\alpha} - \hat{M}) + \lambda Pen(c, \hat{\alpha}) \\
&\quad -[\beta(P\alpha^* - \hat{M})^T(P\alpha^* - \hat{M}) + \lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*)] \\
&\quad -[\beta(P\hat{\alpha} - M)^T(P\hat{\alpha} - M) + \lambda Pen(c, \hat{\alpha})] \\
&\quad +\beta(P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) + \lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(P\hat{\alpha} - \hat{M})^T(P\hat{\alpha} - \hat{M}) + \lambda Pen(c, \hat{\alpha}) \\
\leq\ &\beta(P\alpha^* - \hat{M})^T(P\alpha^* - \hat{M}) + \lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_0 + \beta(P\hat{\alpha} - M)^T(P\hat{\alpha} - M) + \lambda Pen(c, \hat{\alpha}) \\
\leq\ &\beta(P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) + \lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)^T P^T P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*) \\
&\leq 2\beta(P\hat{\alpha} - M)^T(P\hat{\alpha} - M) + 2\beta(P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) \\
&\leq 4\beta(P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) + 2\lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*) - 2I_0. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$I_0 = 2\beta(\hat{M} - M)^T P\alpha^* - 2\beta(\hat{M} - M)^T P\hat{\alpha},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} -2I_0 &\leq 4|\beta(\hat{M} - M)^T P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)| \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{\beta(\hat{M} - M)^T(\hat{M} - M)}\sqrt{\beta\big(P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)\big)^T\big(P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)\big)} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= 4\beta(P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) + 2\lambda Pen(c, \alpha^*), \\ \varepsilon_2 &= \sqrt{\beta(\hat{M} - M)^T(\hat{M} - M)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U = \sqrt{\beta\big(P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)\big)^T\big(P(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha^*)\big)},$$

then it follows from (2) and (3) that

$$U^2 \leq \varepsilon_1 + 4\varepsilon_2 U,$$

so

$$|U| \leq 2\varepsilon_1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 + 4\varepsilon_2^2}. \quad (4)$$

To control ε_1, let $c_i = \int_{[0,1]^d} B_i(u)du$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (P\alpha^* - M)^T(P\alpha^* - M) &\leq \max_{1\leq i\leq k} c_i \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_{1\leq i\leq k} B_i(u)\big((\alpha^*)^T B(u) - c(u)\big)^2 du \\ &= \Delta_1^2 O(1)/\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the fact that $Pen(c, \alpha^*) = (d(d-1)/2)\Delta_1^2$, implies that $\varepsilon_1 = \Delta_1^2 O(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

To control ε_2, note that from Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\varepsilon_2^2 &\leq O\Big(\frac{k}{n}\Big)\Big(1 + \Big(\frac{d}{h_{\min}}\Big)^2\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{h_{\min}}\Big)^d \\ &= O\Big(\frac{k}{n}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{h_{\min}}\Big)^{2+d}, \end{aligned}$$

so ε_2 converges to 0 in probability.

From the above discussion for ε_1 and ε_2, it follows from (4) that U converges to 0 in probability. From (1),

$$\|\hat{\alpha}^T B - c\|^2 \leq \Big(\frac{2}{\beta \min(eigen(P))}\Big)U^2 + 2\Delta_1^2 = O(1)U^2 + 2\Delta_1^2,$$

so $\|\hat{c} - c\| = \|\hat{\alpha}^T B - c\|$ converges to 0 in probability. □

References

[1] W. H. Wong. On constrained multivariate splines and their approximations. *Numerische Mathematik*, 43:141–152, 1984.